



CR-3946-2016(O&amp;M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

CR-3946-2016(O&amp;M)

Date of decision : 20.09.2024

Rajinder Jain

... Petitioner

Versus

Purshotam Dass

... Respondent

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL***Present: Mr.Sandeep Jain, Advocate and  
Mr. Sachin Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.Mr.G.S. Punia, Senior Advocate with  
Ms.Harveen Kaur, Advocate for the respondent.**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. The present revision petition has been filed to challenge the judgment dated 09.07.2014 vide which the Rent Controller had allowed the application for eviction filed by the landlord and also the judgment dated 30.03.2016 vide which the appellate authority had dismissed the appeal filed by the petitioner.

2. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned senior counsel for the respondent, on instructions from their respective clients, have come to a common understanding that the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition with the following directions:-

i) The petitioner would vacate the premises and would hand over the keys of the premises to the respondent on or before 30.05.2025.

ii) The petitioner would pay an amount of Rs.6,000/- per



month from the month of October, 2024 up to the period the petitioner stays in occupation, on or before 10<sup>th</sup> of every month.

- iii) The petitioner would pay the arrears of rent, if any, at the rate of Rs.2000/- per month upto September, 2024 within a period of two months from today.
- iv) The petitioner would file an undertaking on the said three aspects before the Executing Court within a period of three weeks from today with an advance copy to counsel for the landlord in the Executing Court.

3. It is made clear that in case the petitioner does not submit the said undertaking or does not comply with any of the abovesaid conditions then it would be open to the respondent to seek immediate possession of the premises in question from the petitioner, by applying for police help in addition to taking recourse to other proceedings, including the Contempt of Courts Act.

4. In view of what has been observed above, the present revision petition is disposed of.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

September 20, 2024.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No