

2024:PHHC:014805
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

229 **CRWP-659-2024 (O&M)**
DATE OF DECISION: 02.02.2024

LALITA AND ANOTHER ...PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms. Rosi, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus seeking direction to respondents No.2 & 3 not to harass the petitioners at the instance of respondents No.4 to 6 as the petitioners having married against the wishes of private respondents and further prayer to direct respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners as enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

In pursuance to the last order both the parties along with private respondents No. 4 to 6 are present in Court. Respondents No. 4 to 6 submits that they do not oppose to the solemnization of marriage between the petitioners i.e. their daughter-petitioner No.1 aged about 18 years and petitioner No.2-Krishan Kumar aged about 26 years. They further submits that they will not intervene in the life and liberty of petitioners and in fact, have never been objecting the said marriage.

In light of the aforesaid situation, nothing survives in the instant petition. Hence, the petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

02nd February, 2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>