

In view of compromise between the parties, they are directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 28.02.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Cr1.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 118 dated 03.03.2018 registered under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 324, 325, 341, 379, 395, 397, 427, 452 and 506 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar District Rohtak and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

28.02.2024

Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No