



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

228

CWP-2739-2021
Date of Decision:20.09.2024

NARESH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh K. Kataria, Advocate
for respondents No.4 & 5.

Mr. Kuldeep Singh Godara, Advocate for
Mr. Balraj S. Dhull, Advocate for respondent No.6.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Similar was the position on the previous two occasions.
2. Counsel for the respondents submit that writ petition has become infructuous as an extension was given to respondent No.6 for a period of one year, which expired in December, 2021.
3. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

20.09.2024
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No