

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1160-2024
Date of decision: 19.01.2024

Pardeep Mehla

...Petitioner

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education, Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for
Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to correct the name of father of the applicant as ‘Raghbir Singh’ instead of ‘Raghubir Singh Mehla’ in the 10+2 certificate.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given representation dated 12.12.2023 (Annexure P-6) and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board is directed to consider the said representation dated 12.12.2023 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board to consider representation dated 12.12.2023 (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

19.01.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No