

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1198-2024
Date of decision: 19.01.2024

Harish Rana @ Harish Singh Rana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ashish Nagar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Muthreja, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-Board to correct the date of birth of the petitioner in his 10th class pass certificate.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given legal notice dated 01.10.2023 (Annexure P-5) and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.2-Board is directed to consider the said legal notice dated 01.10.2023 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in

accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.2-Board would consider the said legal notice dated 01.10.2023 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.2-Board to consider legal notice dated 01.10.2023 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority of respondent No.2-Board would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.2-Board would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

19.01.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No