

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2757-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.1.2024

Gurmeet Singh and another
... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab
... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Bikramjit Singh Randhawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed by the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail in criminal case having FIR No.0114 dated 24.11.2023 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Chamkaur Sahib, District Rupnagar.

- 2. Notice of motion.
- 3. Mr. Jaiteshwar S. Bhandari, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State while Mr. Parampreet Singh Paul, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant.
- 4. As per allegations appeared on record, on 24.11.2023, petitioner No.1-Gurmeet Singh gave spade blow on left side of forehead of complainant-Parminder Singh and gave another spade blow which hit on the right hand of the complainant. Petitioner No.2-Yuvraj Singh gave *gandasi* blow which hit on the back of the head of the complainant and another *gandasi* blow given by petitioner No.2 hit on the left wrist of the complainant.

falsely implicated in the present case and the grievous injuries attributed to the petitioners are on non-vital parts of the body of the complainant and that the petitioners are ready to join the investigation with the police.

6. Present petition is resisted by the State counsel as well as counsel for the complainant and both of them submitted that the injury caused by petitioner No.1 on the right hand of the complainant and the injury caused by petitioner No.2 on the left wrist of the complainant were found to be grievous in nature and being caused by sharp weapons are covered under Section 326 IPC and as such, the present petition deserves to be dismissed.

7. I have considered the submissions made by the counsel for the parties.

8. The injury caused by petitioner No.1 on the right hand and injury caused by petitioner No.2 on the left wrist of the complainant being grievous in nature and caused by sharp edged weapons, both the petitioners are facing serious charges and further, recovery of weapon used by the petitioners for commission of crime is to be effected, and thus custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for proper and effective investigation of the case.

9. In view of above, no ground is made out to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and consequently, the present petition is dismissed, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

January 19, 2024
Paritosh Kumar