



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

251-3

CWP-1059-2023
Date of decision : 08.11.2024

Jai KumarPetitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana.
Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate for respondent No.7.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the official respondents not to replace the petitioner with the daily wager or with the similar another employee in the absence of regular employee.
2. Learned State counsel submits that in view of the provision of Section 47 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994, there is an alternative remedy of appeal.
3. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to avail the alternative remedy under Section 47 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

08.11.2024
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No