

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 4868 of 2008 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.02.2024

Saroj Palliwal and Another
... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Bhupinder Singh
... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. A.K.Jain, Advocate
for the respondent.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. This is tenants’ revision petition to challenge the correctness of the order passed by the Rent Controller while refusing to grant leave to defend in a petition filed by the respondent under Section 13B of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949.
2. The learned counsel representing the respondent (landlord/owner) submits that the petitioners have purchased the property, therefore, the petition is rendered infructuous.
3. The learned senior counsel representing the petitioners submits that the petitioners did inform him on telephone, however there is no intimation in writing.
4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present revision

petition is dismissed as infructuous with liberty to the petitioners to file an

application for revival, if the facts are found otherwise.

5. The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

February 01, 2024
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No