



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

127

CR-318-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 24.10.2024

Bandeep Rekhi and another

..... Petitioners

versus

Vikramjit Singh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Seerat Saldi, Advocate
Mr. Divyanshu Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Minkle Rawan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate with
Dr. Eliza Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Hakikat Singh Grewal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Rubina Virmani, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Present revision petition was directed against order dated 28.03.2017 passed by Rent Controller, Chandigarh and order dated 30.11.2023 passed by Appellate Authority under the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1974 as applicable to Chandigarh (referred to as '1974 Act').

2. Counsels are *ad idem* that parties have entered into a compromise dated 19.10.2024. The same stands reduced to writing. Copy thereof has been produced. The same is taken on record as mark 'X'.

3. In view of the covenant contained in the compromise, the eviction order needs to be set aside. The terms of the compromise be made part of the order which will be executable as a decree of the Court in terms of Section 17 of the 1974 Act.
4. Disposed off, accordingly.
5. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

24.10.2024
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No