

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CRR(F)-107-2024

Date of Decision: January 24, 2024

Mukesh Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

Urmila and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

The petitioner in the present petition has impugned, the order dated 08.11.2023 passed by Ld. Principal Judge, Family Court, Camp at Amloh, in a complaint No. MNT/196/2021, titled as ***Urmila and others Vs. Mukesh Kumar***, vide which application under Section 125 Cr.P.C. filed by the respondents was allowed and respondent No.1 (wife) has been awarded maintenance to the tune of Rs.20,000/- p.m. from the date of filing of application till the decision of the present case and Rs.10,000/- p.m. each to respondents No.2 and 3 (children) from the date of filing of application till the date, they attain majority.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently argues that the amount so awarded by the Ld. Family Court is on the higher side and the petitioner is not in a position to pay the awarded amount of maintenance to the respondents as he has to meet out his personal expenses and other household expenses.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record available before this Court.

4. A bare perusal of the impugned order shows that the petitioner is working as a private trader, dealing with sale and purchase of iron and steel. As per the Income Tax Return (Annexure P-6), his income has been assessed as Rs.14,75,640 per annum and it has been observed that being proprietor of Hindustan Steel Enterprises, he is earning more than Rs.One lac per month.

5. Since the impugned order dated 08.11.2023 passed by Ld. Principal Judge, Family Court, Camp at Amloh, is well reasoned. And it is the moral and legal duty of the husband/father to maintain his wife/children, therefore, I do not find any infirmity in the impugned order.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

7. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

January 24, 2024
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No