

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-2922-2024**

**Date of Decision:-24.01.2024**

**Sadhu Vishnu Bhai Laxman Das.**

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

**State of Haryana.**

.....Respondent.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present:- Mr. Abhishek Singh, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate for the Complainant.

\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)**

The Prayer in this petition under Section 439 Cr.PC is for the grant of regular bail in case FIR No.292 dated 17.08.2023 under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Pehowal, Kurukshetra.

2. The allegations are that the petitioner and his co-accused cheated the complainant of a huge amount of money.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a compromise has been effected between the parties. As the petitioner was in custody since 20.09.2023 and none of the 33 prosecution witnesses had been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and, therefore, the petitioner was entitled to the concession of

bail.

4. The Counsel for the Complainant has accepted the factum of a compromise having been arrived at between the parties and states that he would have no objection if the petitioner is granted the concession of bail.

5. The Counsel for the State on the other hand contends that the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner did not entitle him to the grant of bail. He however concedes that the petitioner was in custody since 20.09.2023 but none of 33 prosecution witnesses had been examined so far.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

7. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 20.09.2023 but none of the 33 prosecution witnesses had been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required, moreso when a compromise has been effected between the parties .

8. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-**Sadhu Vishnu Bhai Laxman Das** son of Sh. Laxman Das Sadhu is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

9. The petition stands disposed of.

( JASJIT SINGH BEDI )  
JUDGE

January 24, 2024  
Vinay

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |