



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

220

Date of decision: 12.08.2024

CWP-1711-2021 (O&M)

Sumanpreet Kaur and others vs. State of Haryana and others

CWP-7303-2021 (O&M)

Sachin and others vs. State of Haryana and others

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Abhishek Sobti, Advocate for
Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent No.3 in CWP-1711-2021.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J (Oral)

1. These cases involve similar issues and therefore, are being disposed of together.

2. At the outset, learned counsel on instructions from the petitioners, limits his prayer to continue them till regular selection is made. He states that petitioner Nos.1 and 2 in CWP-1711-2021 and petitioner No.2 in CWP-7303-2021 are working on contractual basis. In the affidavit dated 06.04.2024 filed by Dr. Mukesh Kumar, Director, SHKM Government Medical College, Nalhar, Nuh, in CWP-7303-2021, it had been admitted that even after the process of regular selection process undertaken, 10 posts are still lying vacant. Submission made is that the contractual employee cannot be replaced another similar set as held in **Hargurpratap Singh vs. State of Punjab¹**.

3. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.3, being not able to controvert the above, submit that subject to a caveat that their

work and conduct remains satisfactory, only the petitioners, who are working as of today, would continue *albeit* till regular appointments are made.

- 4. Disposed of accordingly.
- 5. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

12.08.2024
ashok

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No