

[110+257+258]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

[1] CRA-S-220-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.03.2024

Rohit Sangwan ...Appellant

versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

[2] CRA-S-574-2024

Sagar ...Appellant

versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

Coram : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present : Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate for the appellant
in CRA-S-220-2024.

Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vazir Singh Mor, Advocate and
Ms. Harmanpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the appellant in CRA-S-574-2024.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

CM-7034-2024 in CRA-S-220-2024

This application was moved to prepone the matter from
01.03.2024 to some earlier date. However, said application has become
infructuous.

Disposed of, as such.

CRA-S-220-2024 (O&M) & CRA-S-574-2024

[1] In the two appeals titled above, both filed under Section 14-A of the 'Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989', prayer has been made for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.192 dated 19.08.2022 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325, 326, 506 and Section 3(1) (r) and 3(1) (s) of SC & ST Act, Section 201, 120-B, 302 IPC and Section 3(2) (u) & 3(2) (va) of SC & ST Act (added later on), registered at Police station Jhansa.

[2] Appellant-Rohit Sangwan had applied for bail before the learned Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra but the same was dismissed on 11.01.2024; whereas the bail petition as moved by the appellant-Sagar was dismissed on 09.01.2024.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel appearing for both the appellants, submit that similarly placed co-accused Pardeep Kumar and Lakhwinder Singh @ Fauji have already been allowed bail by this Court vide order dated 05.01.2024 in CRA-S-2884-2023; and thereafter another similar placed co-accused Sumit was allowed bail by this Court vide order dated 07.02.2024 passed in CRA-S-441-2024. Copies of both these orders have been placed on record.

[4] Learned State Counsel could not refute the aforesaid contentions. It is conceded by learned State Counsel that case of the two appellants, i.e. Rohit Sangwan and that of Sagar, is on same footing as that of co-accused Pardeep Kumar and Lakhwinder Singh @ Fauji and Sumit Kumar, who have already been granted bail, after noticing that the

[5] In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly on the ground of parity but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, both these appeals are accepted. Both the appellants, i.e. Rohit Sangwan and Sagar, are directed to be released on bail on their furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

06.03.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No