

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

210+102

CRM-M-2627-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.03.2024

Sahil @ Vinni @ Shahil

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM-11116-2024

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Annexure P-6 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-2627-2024

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.273 dated 01.07.2023 registered under Sections148, 149, 307, 323, 324, 341, 506 IPC (25 of the Arms Act deleted later on) at Police Station Sabzi Mandi Rohtak, District Rohtak.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the statement of the injured witness Manthan no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner and the blow injury on the head has been attributed to co-accused Vinay, who has been exonerated by the police. The petitioner is in custody since 02.07.2023 and the petitioner is not involved in any other case.
3. The prayer made on behalf of the petitioner has been opposed

by learned State counsel by referring to the serious nature of allegations leveled against the petitioner besides stating that challan in the present case has been presented before the trial Court on 26.09.2023, however, the charges have yet not been framed and the next date of hearing before the trial Court is 29.03.2024.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book. I find substance in the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In the present case, the investigation has already been concluded with the filing of challan. Petitioner is not involved in any other case of similar nature and is behind the bars since 02.07.2023

6. Considering the fact that the petitioner has already suffered incarceration for a period of almost 8½ months and the trial is likely to take sometime in its culmination, I do not find any justification to extend the incarceration of the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

18.03.2024

Satyawan

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No