



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

CR-4899-1998(O&M)

Decided on:16.12.2024

Chhote Lal (Deceased) through LRs

... Petitioner

Versus

Behari Lal Sehgal (Deceased) through LRs and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Rajat Singla, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Vohra, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that it is the case of the petitioner that in pursuance of the decree dated 31.01.1996, he has removed the encroachment. It is further submitted that vide the said judgment and decree dated 31.01.1996, the petitioner was granted liberty to raise construction over his own land measuring 29'x 29' purchased by him and his present construction is already on the said land.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that in spite of the best efforts, the LRs of respondent No.1 have not contacted him and thus, he prays that the present petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the LRs of the respondents to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.

3. In view of the above, present Civil Revision Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is granted to either of the parties to revive the petition, in case, any cause of action survives.

16.12.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
*Whether reportable?**Yes/No*
Yes/No(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE