



3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further relies upon the judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Kapil Gupta Vs. State of NCT of Delhi & Anr. 2022(8) W.L.C. 587, Sonu @ Subhash Kumar Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Another 2021(2) R.C.R. (Criminal) 269*** and ***Pramod Suryabhan Pawar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Another 2019(4) RCR (Criminal) 135*** and contends that once the parties have compromised the matter and decided to live in peace, no useful purpose will be served in allowing the criminal proceedings to continue.

5. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834*** and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.260 dated 29.12.2020 under Sections 376 and 420 IPC registered at Police Station Division No.2, District Pathankot (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

August 21, 2024
manisha

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned
(ii) Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No