

THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1055 of 2024
Date of Decision: 23.01.2024

Hari Ram
..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasna Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Present writ petition has been filed for directing respondents No.3 to 5 to decide the representation dated 01.11.2023 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioner to the respondents. Further prayer has been made for directing respondents No.3 to 5 to complete the street (Gali) in Ward No.22, Rajeev Garden, Bank Colony, District Yamunanagar, Haryana which has been left undone where the petitioner is residing along with his family members.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated his submissions that the work of gali is intentionally not being carried out only in order to harass the petitioner and the similarly situated other residents.

This Court had summoned respondent No.5 i.e. Junior Engineer, Ward No.22, Municipal Corporation, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana in Court.

Mr. Arvind Kumar, J.E., Municipal Corporation, Yamuna Nagar is present in person in Court today. He has submitted before this Court that due to the paucity of necessary grant, the work could not be completed. Though this Court is not *prima facie* convinced with the excuses given by respondent No.5, however he submits that the estimate for completion of this Gali has already been sent to the competent authority for further sanction and the tender would be floated, thereafter, incomplete work of construction of this Gali would be completed. He has prayed that time of two months for completing the necessary work be granted.

In view of the submissions made before this Court by respondent No.5, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 i.e. Junior Engineer, Ward No.22, Municipal Corporation, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana to complete the necessary work as submitted before this Court within a period of two months from today. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to pursue his remedies in accordance with law in case any further cause of action accrues to him.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

23.01.2024
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No