

268 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2911-2024
Date of decision: 19.03.2024

SOMIL AND ANOTHERPetitioners

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Akshit Mehta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer is made for quashing of FIR No.0340 dated 19.11.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 34, 506 IPC at Police Station Sector 13/17, Panipat, District Panipat (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

2. Notice of motion was issued on 19.01.2024 and both the parties were directed to appear before the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to the validity of compromise.

3. In pursuance of the aforesaid order dated 19.01.2024 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench, whereby the parties were directed to appear before the Trial Court for getting their statements recorded as regards the veracity of compromise arrived at between them, a report dated 07.02.2024 has been received from the concerned court, stating that compromise effected between the parties is

genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. No accused has been declared as proclaimed offender.

4. Thus once, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondent No.2 having no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioner(s); there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR qua the petitioner(s). Even otherwise, in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the present circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

5. The parties having settled their dispute so as to live in peace in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the Trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is even found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in **Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.**

6. Thus, in view of the aforesaid report, accompanied by statements of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the aforementioned judgments, the FIR No.0340 dated 19.11.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 34, 506 IPC at Police Station Sector 13/17, Panipat, District Panipat as well as all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, petition stands allowed, however subject to payment of cost(s) of Rs.5,000/- to be deposited in the Punjab and Haryana High Court Bars Clerk Association, within a period of two weeks from today.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

19.03.2024
k.nain

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No