



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.2486 of 2024
Date of decision: 1st August, 2024

Sahil @ Shalu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.01 dated 08.01.2024 for the offences under Sections 160, 148, 149, 506 IPC and Section 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Talwara, District Hosharpur.

2. On 29.02.2024, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation in the light of following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on 18.01.2024.

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that the only allegations leveled against the petitioner are that he along with co-accused created a ruckus in the market and went about threatening the people with dire consequences.



Learned counsel submits that false allegations have been leveled that he was armed with a firearm.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 29.02.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ranvir Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. On a pointed query put to the learned State counsel, he has also not disputed that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

6. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 29.02.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

August 1, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No