

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-5958-CII-2019 and
CM-6695-CII-2019 in/and
CR-2761-2013 (O&M)
Decided on :13.08.2024

Satish Grover . . . Petitioner

Versus

Mahesh Manocha (Deceased) through his LRs . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Santosh Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Varun Sandhu, Advocate for the respondent(s).

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent-landlord has submitted that in the present case, the matter has been settled and the possession of the demise premises has been handed over to the landlord-respondent by the petitioner-tenant and thus, nothing survives in the present case and same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. It is further submitted that the main revision petition is already admitted and thus, prayed that the main case be taken up today itself for final disposal.
2. Today, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the abovesaid aspect.
3. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondent(s) and keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the main case is taken up on Board today for final disposal and the same is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
4. Liberty is however granted to the petitioner to revive the main petition, in case any cause of action survives to him.
5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

13.08.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

YES/NO
YES/NO