

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(118)

CRWP-527-2024
Date of Decision:-21.02.2024

Maninder Singh
.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Siddharth Attri, AAG, Punjab.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned State counsel submits that, in fact, respondent No. 4-Seema Rani has filed two complaints, to which learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is aware of only one complaint but is not aware of any other complaint.
2. Be that as it may, learned counsel for the petitioner contains his prayer that he should not be unnecessarily harassed and the matter is civil in nature which is being adjudicated upon by the competent Court.
3. Considering the fact that there cannot be any estoppel against law and in case, a complaint has been received, the authorities are bound to look into the same.
4. In light of the above, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction that the authorities shall proceed in accordance with law by

CRWP-527-2024

-2-

5. It is made clear that filing or pendency of this petition or any order/direction passed in this petition shall not be an *alibi* or defence to the petitioner, in case, he is found involved in any illegal activity and the respondent-Authorities shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

February 21, 2024
Parul

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No