

111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2341-2024

Date of Decision: 29.01.2024

Surinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Sunaina, Advocate and
Mr. Abhishek Khurana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., a prayer has been made for the issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to setup high level independent SIT for investigating into the conduct of respondents No.4 and 5 along with two other policemen accompanied by them on the date of incident and to register FIR against respondents No. 6 to 17 as well as against some other unknown persons for raising some discriminatory slogans on the basis of caste and religion against the management.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, her client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide representation dated 30.12.2023 (Annexure P-8) moved at the instance of

petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Shubham Kaushik, AAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondents-State and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, Punjab to look into the representation dated 30.12.2023 (Annexure P-8) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

29.01.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no
Whether reportable? yes/no