



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

254

CRM-M-2944-2024
Date of Decision : **September 17, 2024**

SHELJA @ SHELZA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Sidharth Chawla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajiv Vij, Advocate for
Mr. Manish Bansal, PP for UT, Chandigarh.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to the official respondents to decide the representation dated 12.10.2023 made by the petitioner.
2. The instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of his grievance, yet she has sidetracked the said remedy and has straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petition. In such circumstances, this Court refrains from exercising its power, as envisaged under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Therefore, the instant petition is **dismissed**, however, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to recourse the alternative available statutory remedy(ies) before the appropriate authority/forum concerned for redressal of her grievance.

September 17, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No