

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CWP-1164-2020

Date of Decision :20.02.2024

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working on contractual basis to the post of Assistant Accounts with the respondent-department but due to illness, he could not continue his job and remained absent from duty.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the post against which the petitioner was working, is still lying vacant hence, the respondents be directed to allow the petitioner to continue to work against the said post.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that it is not known as to whether the work of the post against which the petitioner was working still exists and in case, the petitioner is of the view that the said post is still lying vacant and work also exists, he can raise a claim before the authority concerned for his reemployment against the said post and in case

any such claim is raised by the petitioner, the same will be considered by the respondents and appropriate order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of any such claim and in case, the claim of the petitioner is found justifiable, same will be extended to him otherwise, due reasons will be given in the speaking order for the necessary action of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

February 20, 2024

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No