

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

2024:PHHC:041938

CR-180-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.03.2024

VARINDER KUMAR WAHI ..Petitioner
Versus
SHRI SANATAN DHARAM SABHA ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr Rajinder Goel, Advocate for respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. This revision petition has been filed by the tenant to assail the interlocutory order passed by the Rent Controller while dismissing his application for permission to amend the written statement.
2. In substance, the petitioner wants to incorporate an objection that the petition has not been filed by an authorized person on behalf of the respondent-landlord (Trust). On a perusal of the original written statement filed by the petitioner, it is evident that the petitioner has already alleged that the petition is not maintainable as the same has not been filed by authorized persons. As per Order VI Rule 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, the evidence is not required to be made part of the pleadings.
3. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.
4. Dismissed accordingly.
5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

March 22nd, 2024
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No