

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-844-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision : 08.02.2024

Harpal Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. B.S. Seemar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for the applicant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CM-1292-CWP-2024

Prayer in the present application is for impleading the Gurudwara Gurusar Satlani Sahib, Hoshiar Nagar, District Amritsar through its Manager Harwinder Singh as respondent No.6 in CWP No.844 of 2024.

Heard. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the Gurudwara Gurusar Satlani Sahib, Hoshiar Nagar, District Amritsar through its Manager Harwinder Singh is impleaded as respondent No.6 in the main petition. Application stands allowed. Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Main case

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.3 to 5 to get the demarcation of the land done, in a time bound manner, in pursuance to the order/letter dated 14.02.2023

(Annexure P-9) issued by respondent No.1 so that police authorities may take necessary steps to maintain law and order on the disputed land so that no harm is caused to the property of the school as well as to almost 1900 children studying in the school being run by the petitioner. Further prayer has been made for directing respondent No.5 to get the demarcation of the land done, in a time bound manner, in pursuance to the representation dated 21.07.2023 (Annexure P-10).

In pursuance to the orders passed by this Court dated 12.01.2024, the Tehsildar, Amritsar-I, Tehsil and District Amritsar was summoned for 24.01.2024 when he informed this Court that on 02.02.2024 the case was fixed for carrying out the demarcation. Mr. Maninder Singh, Tehsildar, Amritsar-I is present in the Court today and has submitted that the demarcation has been carried out on 02.02.2024 as submitted before this Court earlier in the presence of both the parties. He has also apprised the Court that the report of demarcation has already been provided to both the sides. However, counsel for the petitioner has shown ignorance about the copy of the report provided to the petitioner.

Heard. On hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the report, it is apparent that the necessary demarcation as prayed for by the petitioner has been carried out and the report is also provided to both the sides. However, if the petitioner has any objection to the report, he would be at liberty to avail his remedies as available to him as per law.

Petition is disposed of in above terms.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

08.02.2024
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No