



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

384

CWP-4283-2002
Date of Decision : 13..05.2024

BHARPUR BHARTI ... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Sahil Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

- 1. Mr. Sahil Gupta, Advocate submits that he has no instructions from his client.
- 2. The petitioner is assailing recruitment of private respondents on the ground that their appointment has been made without proper advertisement. The private respondents joined during 1992-2001. At this belated stage, their appointment cannot be disturbed because it is neither in the interest of private respondents nor the State.
- 3. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.
- 4. The petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application, if cause survives.

13.05.2024 (JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
anju

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No