

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

228

CRM-M No.2379 of 2024  
Date of Decision: 31.01.2024

PINTU .....Petitioner  
  
Vs  
STATE OF HARYANA ....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA*

Present: Mr. Pardeep Panwar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
  
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, D.A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)**

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.479 dated 29.12.2021 registered under Section 379-A IPC (Section 201 IPC added later on) at Police Station Saran District Faridabad, Haryana.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated with the allegation of snatching mobile from the complainant.
3. The prayer made on behalf of the petitioner has been opposed by learned State counsel while submitting that the petitioner absented himself with the deliberate intent to delay the trial as the matter was fixed for recording prosecution evidence on 03.08.2023.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book. I find substance in the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In the present case, petitioner was initially granted concession of regular bail by the Trial Court vide order dated 01.02.2022 and since then he continued to appear before the Court concerned, but for 03.08.2023, he could not appear before the Court on account of marriage of his relative, resulting into cancellation of his bail and forfeiture of bail bonds/surety bonds on the same day itself. Petitioner admittedly surrendered before the Court concerned on his own on 22.11.2023 and since then he is behind the bars i.e. for a period of more than 02 months.

6. In view of aforesaid circumstances, petitioner having surrendered himself before the Court concerned besides having not been involved in any kind of criminal activity between 03.08.2023 to 22.11.2023, I do not find any justification to extend the incarceration of the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

January 31, 2024  
Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |