

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

102

CR-1568-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.01.2024.

M/s Dinesh Traders and others

...Petitioners.

Versus

Satvir Singh Sandhu

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Siwach, Advocate for
Mr. Mahesh Dheer, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.K. Handa, Advocate and
Ms. Gauri Handa, Advocate and
Mr. Dharambir Bhargav, Advocate and
Mr. Kulwinder Bhargav, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners stated that he has
no instructions to pursue with the present revision petition.

Perusal of order dated 18.12.2023 of this Court reveals that it
has been mentioned therein that vide CM-8577-CII-2023, the payment of
mesne profits was sought but no reply to the same was filed by the
petitioners/tenants. Vide order dated 16.05.2023, one more opportunity for
filing the reply to the aforesaid application was granted to the petitioners/
tenants, subject to payment of costs of Rs.10,000/-. Then vide order dated
18.12.2023, one last opportunity was afforded to the petitioners for filing
the reply to the aforesaid application and the matter had been adjourned for

CR-1568-2017 (O&M)

-2-

today. But today, counsel who had been earlier appearing for the petitioners has pleaded no instructions to pursue with the present petition. Neither the petitioner nor any other counsel has come present on his behalf. Even reply to the aforesaid application, regarding which the last opportunity had been granted, has not been filed and the cost imposed of Rs.10,000/- has also not been paid, so the present revision petition is dismissed for want of prosecution and for non compliance.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

08.01.2024.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No