

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.

CRWP-399-2024  
Date of Decision : 18.01.2024

VIKRAM .....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present : Mr. Ashutosh Verma, Advocate for  
Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P.Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana with  
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned submits that Annexure P-1, which is an application for grant of parole to the petitioner, is subjudice, before the Authorities concerned. Thereafter, he submits on further instructions, that the mandamus as asked in the instant writ petition, that the authority concerned be directed to within a period of two weeks from today, make thereons a valid speaking decision, as such, be made by this Court.
2. Consequently, given the above made statement, at the bar, by the learned State counsel, this Court makes a mandamus upon the authority concerned, seized with Annexure P-1, to make a valid speaking decision thereons but within two weeks from today.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)  
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)  
JUDGE

18.01.2024  
kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No