

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CRWP-419-2024
Date of Decision : 18.01.2024

DEEPAKPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present : Mr. Ashutosh Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P.Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned submits that Annexure P-1, which is an application for grant of parole to the petitioner, is subjudice, before the Authorities concerned. Thereafter, he submits on further instructions, that the mandamus as asked in the instant writ petition, that the authority concerned be directed to within a period of two weeks from today, make, thereons a valid speaking decision, as such, be made by this Court.
2. Consequently, given the above made statement, at the bar, by the learned State counsel, this Court makes a mandamus upon the authority concerned, seized with Annexure P-1, to make a valid speaking decision thereons but within two weeks from today.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

18.01.2024

kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No