



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.1959 of 2024
Date of decision: 29th July, 2024

Aditya Aggarwal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Ruchin Midha & Mr. Balram S. Dhillon,
Advocates for the petitioner.
(through VC)

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.479 dated 03.12.2022 for the offences under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 22.04.2024, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation, on the basis of following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on 15.01.2024.

“Inter alia contends that the petitioner was neither buyer nor a signatory to the agreement to sell pertaining to the disputed property. The agreement had been entered into between his father and the complainant. It has also been submitted that the factum of the dispute between the



parties being essentially a matter of civil nature is evident from the fact that the father of the petitioner had approached this Court by way of CRM-M No.21390 of 2023 for quashing of the FIR in question wherein this Court vide order dated 01.05.2023 had stayed the proceedings before the trial Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 22.04.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Salinder Kumar, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 22.04.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 29, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No