428 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1000-2003 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.11.2024

S.C.JHARI ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Singla, AAG, Haryana.

****

NAMIT KUMAR ,J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari, quashing the order dated 26.06.2002 received on 21.08.2002 passed by respondent No.1 in which the claim of the petitioner has been rejected. Further a writ of mandamus has been sought for directing the respondents to allow the pension benefit of past service rendered in combined Punjab from 16.02.1954 to 03.02.1960 for the purpose of pension and other benefits.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 23.10.2024, the following order was passed:-

> *"On the last date of hearing i.e. 26.09.2024, the case was adjourned on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner. Today, none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.*
>
> *In the interest of justice, adjourned to 13.11.2024.*
>
> *However, it is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted on the next date of hearing."*

3. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

4. In this view of the matter, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

13.11.2024 **(NAMIT KUMAR)**
renubala **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

Renu Bala
2024.11.14 12:10
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document