

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1809-2024
Date of decision: 30.01.2024

RESHMAPETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJABRESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
195	10.09.2022	457, 380, 323, 427, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC.	Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 12.01.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 12.01.2024.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurdev Raj, intimates that the petitioner had joined the investigation in the case and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

4. Keeping in view the respective submissions made by learned State counsel and considering the fact that the petitioner had already joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation nor he is required for any custodial interrogation, interim bail granted vide order dated 12.01.2024 is hereby confirmed in the present FIR No.195, dated 10.09.2022 under Sections 457, 380, 323, 427, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC (Annexure P-1), subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further, the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

5. The petition stands allowed.

6. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

30.01.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |