



**234 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2103-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.09.2024**

MANOJ YADAV AND ANOTHER

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Shweta Bawa, Advocate for
Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 663 dated 13.12.2023 registered under Sections 498-A, 354, 376 and 506 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been dragged in the controversy only for the reason that they are the brother-in-law and sister-in-law of the main accused-Monu and the FIR(supra) was registered on the ground that brother of petitioner No. 1 has enticed away the prosecutrix and she has been subjected to sexual assault and only allegations levelled against the petitioners are that they taunted the complainant by saying that her father was a rich person, yet she did not bring a Car and sufficient cash, according to the status of her father.
3. Learned State counsel has filed the reply by way of affidavit of Dalip

Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Tosham, Haryana on behalf of respondent No. 1-State of Haryana. Same is taken on record. Learned State counsel at the very outset, refers to para No. 3 of the aforesaid reply and submits that during investigation, no evidence has come on record qua complicity of the petitioners and as such, they have been found innocent during investigation. He further submits that no surviving grievances of the petitioners, subsist in the present petition, which remains to be adjudicated by this Court.

4. Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that she is satisfied with the investigation conducted by the jurisdictional police authorities and has no objection, in case, the present petition is disposed of, in view of the reply filed by State of Haryana.

5. In view of the fact that petitioners have been found innocent during investigation, as such no further directions are required to be issued, the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

18.09.2024

Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No