

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

285

CRM-M-1719-2024

Date of Decision: 21.03.2024

Sunny and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Aakash Dalal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Pankaj Kaushik, Advocate
for respondent No. 2-complainant.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners who are husband, father-in-law and mother-in-law of respondent No. 2-complainant have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for quashing of FIR No. 28 dated 13.03.2020 registered under Sections 323, 34 and 498-A IPC at Women Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise deed dated 21.07.2023 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

Pursuant to the order dated 12.01.2024 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties appeared before the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division)-cum-Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jhajjar, to get their statements recorded. Learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division)-cum-Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jhajjar, has submitted his report along with

statements of the parties vide letter dated 12.03.2024 duly forwarded by the learned District and Sessions Judge, Jhajjar.

A perusal of the above said report would show that the petitioners and respondent No. 2 have appeared and suffered statements with respect to the compromise, which have been found to be valid, genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present FIR emanates from the matrimonial dispute between the parties. He further submits that as per the report, the present petitioners have never been declared as proclaimed offender.

Learned State counsel submits that seven persons were named in the FIR as accused. However, upon investigation, challan was filed only against the present petitioners. He further submits that he has 'no objection' in case the FIR is quashed on the basis of compromise qua the petitioners.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and has perused the file.

After perusing the report submitted by the learned learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division)-cum-Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jhajjar, this Court finds that the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioners and respondent No. 2. Since the matter has been settled and the parties have decided to live in peace, this Court feels that in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings deserve to be quashed.

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs State of Punjab”, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, it is held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the proceedings where the High Court is of the opinion that the same is required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543***, had also observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment is reproduced here-in-below:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court.”

In view of what has been discussed here-in-above, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 28 dated 13.03.2020 registered under Sections 323, 34 and 498-A IPC at Women Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings

CRM-M-1719-2024 (O&M) -4-

2024:PHHC:041061

arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise deed dated 21.07.2023 (Annexure P-2), are ordered to be quashed qua the petitioners.

21.03.2024

Divyanshi

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No