

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CWP-838-2024

Date of Decision : January 15, 2024

Vikas Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and another .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Sangwan, Advocate, with
Ms. Monika Sangwan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarvpreet Singh Brar, Advocate, for
Mr. Balwinder Sangwan, Advocate,
for respondent No.1-HPSC.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana,
for respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present writ petition is qua the manner in which the result of the examination has been declared by respondent No.1. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the result has not been declared category wise, which is causing apprehension in the mind of the petitioner as to whether, the required number of candidates in each reserved category have been called for further participation in the selection process.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently Haryana Public Service Commission through its Secretary, Panchkula, Haryana could not be impleaded as a party hence, on the oral request of the petitioner, Haryana Public Service Commission through its Secretary, Panchkula, Haryana be impleaded as respondent No.3 in the present writ petition.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. S.S. Brar, Advocate, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2.
5. Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection about the said fact.
6. Keeping in view the above, Haryana Public Service Commission through its Secretary, Panchkula, Haryana is impleaded as respondent No.3 in the present writ petition.
7. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present writ petition has already been raised by the petitioner through a representation dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-7) with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.
8. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-7) has not been decided by the respondents so far, the same will be decided by the authority concerned within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
9. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
10. Ordered accordingly.

January 15, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No