

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

122 CRM-9054-2024 in/and  
272 CRM-M-1779-2024  
DATE OF DECISION: 28.02.2024

RAVINDER GIR ALIAS RAVINDER SINGH ALIAS RAVI AND  
OTHERS ...PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Ashdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).  
Mr. J.S.Rattu, DAG, Punjab.  
Mr.Mikhail Kad, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

\*\*\*

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

CRM-9054-2024

This application has been filed for addition of Section 201 and 506 IPC by amendment of the Head note and prayer clause of main petition and correction in date of the compromise.

For the reasons recorded in the application, the same is allowed and Section 201 and 506 IPC are added in the Head note and prayer clause of main petition and the date of compromise be read as 09.01.2024 instead of 10.01.2024.

Main case

By way of this petition, petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.0079, dated 19.10.2017, registered at Police Station Naya Gaon, District SAS Nagar, under Sections 201, 506, 451, 323, 148 and 149 of IPC, 1860 and all the subsequent proceedings

2024:PHHC:028334

arising therefrom qua the petitioners on the basis of the compromise dated 09.01.2024 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

During the pendency of the dispute, the parties have compromised the matter and filed the present petition for quashing of FIR.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 and 3 submits that he has no objection if the FIR is ordered to be quashed.

Vide order dated 12.01.2024, parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court and report with regard to the genuineness of the compromise was called for.

The report dated 07.02.2024 has been received from JMIC, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Kharar stating that the parties have entered into a compromise, which is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, has held:-

*“The only inevitable conclusion from the above discussion is that there is no statutory bar under the Cr.P.C. which can affect the inherent power of this Court under Section 482. Further, the same cannot be limited to matrimonial cases alone and the Court has the wide power to quash the proceedings even in noncompoundable offences notwithstanding the bar under Section 320 of the Cr.P.C., in order to prevent the abuse of law and to secure the ends of justice.*

*The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is to be exercised Ex-Debitia Justitia to prevent an abuse of*

*process of Court. There can neither be an exhaustive list nor the defined para-meters to enable a High Court to invoke or exercise its inherent powers. It will always depend upon the facts and circumstances of each case. The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. has no limits. However, the High Court will exercise it sparingly and with utmost care and caution. The exercise of power has to be with circumspection and restraint. The Court is a vital and an extra-ordinary effective instrument to maintain and control social order. The Courts play role of paramount importance in achieving peace, harmony and ever- lasting congeniality in society. Resolution of a dispute by way of a compromise between two warring groups, therefore, should attract the immediate and prompt attention of a Court which should endeavour to give full effect to the same unless such compromise is abhorrent to lawful composition of the society or would promote savagery.”*

The legal principles as laid down for quashing of the judgment were also approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of '**Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another,(2012) 10 SCC 303**'. Furthermore, the broad principles for exercising the powers under Section 482 were summarized by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of '**Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur and others versus State of Gujarat and another” (2017) 9 SCC 641**'.

It is evident that in view of the amicable resolution of the issues amongst the parties, no useful purpose would be served by continuation of the proceedings. The furtherance of the proceedings is likely to be a waste of judicial time and there appears to be no chances

of conviction.

In view of above, FIR No.0079, dated 19.10.2017, registered at Police Station Naya Gaon, District SAS Nagar, under Sections 201, 506, 451, 323, 148 and 149 of IPC, 1860 and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners on the basis of the compromise dated 09.01.2024 (Annexure P-2), is quashed qua the petitioners.

The present petition is hereby allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

28.02.2024  
anuradha

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |