

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CM-520-CWP-2024 in/&
CWP-1000-2023
Date of Decision :18.01.2024

Neelam Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.
* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner intends to challenge the view/recommendations of the High Powered committee, copy of which has been appended as Annexure R-2, which has been made basis for ousting the petitioner from the zone of consideration stating that qualification of GNM is not a higher qualification in the same line of ANM, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

CM-520-CWP-2024

Application is also disposed of.

January 18, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No