



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-1394-2023

Date of decision: 04.09.2024

Pankaj Mittal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. G.S. Bhinder, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Mehak Sawhney, Advocate (Legal Aid Counsel)
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.480 dated 22.09.2022 under Sections 406, 419, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Jind, District Jind.

2. On 11.01.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. Only allegation against the petitioner in the FIR is that petitioner had come to the house of the complainant at Bhiwani in the month of May/June, 2018 and took her ID on the pretext of getting her employed in the bank. He further submits that allegations are totally



CRM-M-1394-2023

vague and the petitioner has no concern about the same. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.”

3. As per report of the Registry, fresh notice issued to respondent No.3 has not been received back, served or otherwise. Even prior thereto, the position was the same.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 11.01.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. She, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 11.01.2023, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS/438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

04.09.2024

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No