

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-742-2024

Date of Decision :12.01.2024

Dharmender Singh**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Tushar, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that though, the petitioner has requested the respondent-department for mutual transfer with respondent No.6 but while affecting mutual transfers of other employees vide order dated 08.11.2023 (Annexure P/8), claim of the petitioner has not been considered by the respondents, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once the request of the other conductors for mutual transfer has already been accepted by the respondent-department, there is no ground to deny the said benefit to the petitioner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has

instructions to submit that request of the petitioner for mutual transfer is under consideration and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of 02 weeks from today.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to avail an appropriate remedy in case the order so passed by the respondents causes prejudice to the petitioner.

7. Ordered accordingly

January 12, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No