

113

2023:PHHC: 003840

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-661-2024

Date of decision: 12.01.2024

BALWINDER SINGH

...PETITIONER

Vs.

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. H.S. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of communication dated 04.08.2023 (Annexure P-16) whereby claim of the petitioner for re-fixation of his pay has been declined.

2. The petitioner was promoted as Circle Superintendent w.e.f. 07.09.2018. He filed his option with respect to date of incremental promotion. He claimed that he may be granted promotional increment along with annual increment and not from the date of promotion. Despite submitting said option, the respondents, after retirement of the petitioner, has changed date of promotional increment. The petitioner served legal notice upon the respondents. In reply to legal notice, the respondent-BBMB has accepted that petitioner is entitled to promotional increment from the date of annual increment still till date necessary correction has not been made. The BBMB is shifting onus upon PSPCL which has formed an opinion that BBMB needs to make necessary correction.

3. From the communication dated 26.04.2023 (Annexure P-15) and communication dated 04.08.2023 (Annexure P-16), it is evident that there is mistake on the part of PSPCL or BBMB. Both the organizations are shifting burden upon each other.
4. BBMB is hereby directed to carry out necessary amendment/correction in the record of the petitioner within 3 months from today.
5. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

12.01.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No