

134

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

INCOMP-466-2024

Date of decision: 20.11.2024

Jatin Dhingra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The aforesaid petition was filed on 09.01.2020 by Mr. Shubham Kaushik, Advocate. However, certain objections were raised by the Registry.
2. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition, on which, objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to re-file within a period of 40 days. However, the same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections. On failure to do the needful, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders.
3. Even after the publication of the note requesting the Advocate to collect his case for re-filing after rectification of objections, the needful was not done. Consequently, the matter has been listed before this Court in urgent list today.
4. No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.
5. In view of the aforementioned facts, no further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the record.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

20.11.2024

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No