

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2055-2024
Date of decision: 01.02.2024

JAGJEET SINGH ALIAS JAGJIT SINGH AND OTHERS
....PETITIONER(S)
Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER
....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harpreet Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Lalit Pathak, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 16.11.2023 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
32	01.05.2017	419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC.	Amloh, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 16.11.2023 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 10,000/- has been placed on record

CRM-M-2055-2024

2

in compliance of the order dated 15.01.2024.

3. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amloh, vide report dated 23.01.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Sub-Inspector Harkirat Singh, submits that only the petitioners have been challaned in the instant case.

5. Respondent No.2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

6. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amloh, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

7. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 32 dated 01.05.2017, under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Amloh, District Fatehgarh Sahib (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

01.02.2024

kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |