

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-543-2024

Date of Decision: 11.01.2024

OM PARKASH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Fateh Singh Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of mandamus for directing the respondents to correct, re-fix and re-calculate the entire retiral benefits of the petitioner i.e. Gratuity, Leave Enacashment, GPF, Provident Fund, Commutation of Pension, Arrears of Pension and other admissible benefits in terms of revised pay scale of Rs. 43,600/- instead of Rs. 42,300/-.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for claiming the said relief, the petitioner has also served a legal notice dated 06.10.2023 (Annexure P-6) and he would be satisfied if time-bound directions are given to the respondents to consider and decide the said legal notice.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 and Mr. Tara Dutt, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate for respondent No. 4.
5. Accordingly, and without commenting upon the merits of the

claim of the petitioner and even the assertions set out in the petition, the same is disposed of only with a direction to respondent No. 3 that if any such legal notice, as indicated above, is indeed pending consideration, that shall be dealt with expeditiously in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and convey the same to the petitioner.

6. The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

11.01.2024
Rajeev (rvs)

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No