

-1-

CRWP-288-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.01.2024

....Petitioners.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

Present:- Mr. Anas Ahmed, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

• • • • •

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

CRM-W-72-2024

1. Heard.
2. Keeping in view the averments made in the application which is duly supported with the affidavit, application is allowed and the Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh, is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No. 2, for the purpose of present petition. Amended memo of parties is taken on record.
3. Disposed of.
4. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

Main case

1. This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both petitioners are apprehending threats to their lives at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5, who are the family members of petitioner No. 1, and they have also moved a representation dated 08.01.2024 (Annexure P-3) to the Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh. But no action has been taken till date.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No. 2- the Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh, to consider and dispose of the representation dated 08.01.2024 (Annexure P-3) keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and if so warranted, take action, to ensure protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that this order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of

CRM-M-288-2024 (O&M)

-3-

their relationship in any civil or criminal proceedings nor the petitioners would be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

19.01.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |