

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-602-2024

Date of Decision: 22.04.2024

NEMI CHAND

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. AG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant deemed date of appointment to the petitioner as has been given to other similar situated employees vide office order dated 13.03.2016, Annexure P-2, with all consequential benefits.

2. Learned State counsel at the outset contends that the relief claimed has been given to the petitioner vide office order dated 15.04.2024, wherein it is stated that benefits of appointment from deemed date, i.e., 12.04.1990, seniority and fixation of pay from the date his juniors in rank were appointed, are to be given to him. His pay will be notionally fixed from 12.04.1990, and actually from the date of joining. It is further submitted that due benefits in terms of the office order, dated 15.04.2024, will be released within a period of three months.

4. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

5. Ordered accordingly.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
(JUDGE)

22.04.2024
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No