

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1464 of 2024

DATE OF DECISION :- 25.01.2024

Jaswant Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh Pannu, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. On 16.01.2024, the following order was passed:-

“Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner and the complainant were in a kind of relationship for quite some time and the FIR in question is the outcome of the fall out of such relationship.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Tejpal, has submitted that in terms of order dated 11.01.2024, the concerned SHO has informed the complainant about the date fixed in this case. Put up on 23.01.2024.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 18.01.2024 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

The concerned SHO, through the learned State counsel, is once again directed to inform respondent No.2-complainant about the

next date of hearing in this case. He shall also file a compliance report in this case on or before the next date of hearing.
Be shown in the urgent list.”

2. Learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector Tejpal has stated that pursuant to the order dated 16.01.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
3. In view of above, the interim order dated 16.01.2024 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C., 1973 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

25.01.2024
P.Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No