

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-249-2024
DECIDED ON: 19.01.2024

GURPREET KAUR AND ANR.
.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Vikrant Vij, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to take action on the representation dated 03.01.2024 (Annexure P-3) for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing the private respondents No.4 & 5 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners.

In pursuance to the order dated 11.01.2024, status report by way of an affidavit of Mr. Satinder Singh, IPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, District Amritsar (Rural), has been filed on behalf of respondent No.1 to 3, which is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has entered into a compromise with respondent No.4 in Police Station Bhindi Saidan, District Amritsar, which fact has not been controverted by Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate, who appears on behalf of respondents No.4 & 5 today in Court and filed her Memorandum of Appearance.

Since respondent No.4 has made a statement before the police authorities that he has no concern with petitioner No.1 and is not against her living with petitioner No.2 and is not advancing any kind of threat to the petitioners, therefore, nothing survives in the present petition.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

Prayer is accepted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

19.01.2024

Poonam Negi

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No